

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/582,929	04/12/2007	Stephen Wayne Beadle	2003 B136/2	6582		
23455 EXXONMOR	7590 09/03/200 IL CHEMICAL COMP	EXAM	EXAMINER			
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			BULLOCK	BULLOCK, IN SUK C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		1797				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/03/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,929		BEADLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	IN SUK BULLOCK	1797	

	IN SUK BULLOCK	1797						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places th application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In low, thowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: flow 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			OTOL 004)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imals filed amandmar	t concellng the					
non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	re hecause:					
the arguments are directed to non-entered amendment.		condition for anowari	oc because.					
12. Onte the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/In Suk Bullock/								
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797								
	,							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amending claim 1 incorporating the limitations of claims 14 and 17 changes the scope of the claim. Previously claim 1 merely recited a "catalyst" but with the proposed amendment the claim has been narrowed to a "zeolite catalyst" which requires further consideration.

It is noted that proposed amended claim 1 requires a zeolite catalyst while dependent claim 19 recites that "the catalyst comprises a solid phosphoric acid". It would appear that claim 19 should be either canceled or reworded to clearly indicate that the catalyst "further comprises a solid phosphoric acid".